# ORIGINAL



# BEFORE THE AREA CORPORATION COMMISSION

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

1

CARL J. KUNASEK

Chairman

**JIM IRVIN** 

Commissioner

WILLIAM A. MUNDELL

Commissioner

OCT 2 6 2000

**DOCKETED BY** 

2000 OCT 26 P 4: 25

de '

Z CORP COMMISSION DOCUMENT CONTROL

IN THE MATTER OF NOTICE OF PROPOSED RULEMAKING REGARDING THE TRANSPORTATION OF NATURAL GAS, OTHER GASES AND HAZARDOUS LIQUIDS BY PIPELINES.

DOCKET NO. RG-00000A-00-0548

ARIZONA FUELING FACILITIES CORPORATION'S COMMENTS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Arizona Fueling Facilities Corporation ("AFFC"), by and through undersigned

counsel, hereby submits to the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") its

Comments to the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking Regarding the Transportation of Natural

Gas, Other Gases and Hazardous Liquids by Pipelines ("Proposed Rules") as follows:

I. THE PROPOSED RULES WOULD UNLAWFULLY EXPAND THE COMMISSION'S JURISDICTION.

The Commission, by means of the Proposed Rules, is attempting to expand its regulatory jurisdiction to include pipeline facilities that are owned, operated and used by entities other than public service corporations. The Commission's attempt to expand its jurisdiction is procedurally and substantively flawed.

A. The Proposed Rules fail to provide notice that the Commission is attempting to expand its jurisdiction, in violation of procedural due process requirements.

The Notice of Proposed Rulemaking ("NOPR") issued by the Commission in this docket contains "an explanation of the rule, including the agency's reasons for initiating

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

While the NOPR lists three specific areas of the existing pipeline safety the rule." regulations (A.A.C. R14-5-201 et seq.; the "Existing Rules") that the Proposed Rules will amend, the NOPR fails to reveal that the Commission is also proposing to expand its jurisdiction to include non public service corporation related facilities. See NOPR at para. 5.

The Existing Rules contain a definition of "Pipeline system" which reads as follows:

"Pipeline system" means all parts of those physical facilities that are used by public service corporations through which natural gas, liquefied natural gas ("LNG"), other gases or hazardous liquids move in transportation including, but not limited to, pipes, compressor units, metering stations, regulator stations, delivery stations, holders and fabricated assemblies.

# A.A.C. R14-5-201(9) (emphasis added).

The Existing Rules limit the Commission's pipeline safety jurisdiction only to those pipelines systems that are used by public service corporations. The Proposed Rules delete from the definition of pipeline system the phrase, "that are used by public service corporations." Thus, the effect of the Proposed Rules would be to significantly expand the jurisdiction of the Commission to all pipeline systems, even those operated by non public service corporations.

Notwithstanding this substantive proposed change in the Existing Rules, nowhere in the NOPR, the prefatory materials or the Commission Staff memorandum is there any mention of the fact that the Proposed Rules would so expand the Commission's jurisdiction. Consequently, the Commission has failed to give proper notice of the effect of the Proposed Rules.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Additionally, the Commission disguises the fact that the Proposed Rules will expand the Commission's jurisdiction by misstating the Existing Rules. In the NOPR, the following definition is provided for "Intrastate Pipeline" at A.A.C. R14-5-201(5):

> "Intrastate Pipeline" means all pipeline facilities referenced in A.R.S. § 40.441, included in the definition of "pipeline system" that are used to transport natural gas, Liquefied Natural Gas ("LNG") other gas or hazardous liquids within Arizona, that are not used to transport gas or hazardous liquids in interstate or foreign commerce. This includes, without limitation, any equipment, facility, building or other property used or intended for use in transporting gas, LNG or hazardous liquids.

In reality, however, Existing Rules, A.A.C. R14-5-201(5), which is the definition of "Intrastate Pipeline," actually reads as follows:

> "Intrastate Pipeline" means all pipeline facilities included in the definition of "pipeline system" that are used by public service corporations to transport natural gas, other gas, or hazardous liquids within Arizona, that are not used to transport gas or hazardous liquids in interstate or foreign commerce. This includes, without limitation, any equipment, facility, building, or other property used or intended for use in transporting gas or hazardous liquids.

(emphasis added).

This additional failure to give accurate and full notice to the public of the actual changes being proposed in the Proposed Rules violates the notice requirements of due process. See A.R.S. § 41-1021(B)(1); 41-1021(C). The Commission's failure to comply with the notice requirements of the Arizona Administrative Procedure Act (A.R.S. § 41-1001 et seq. will render the Proposed Rules invalid, if adopted. See A.R.S. § 41-1030(A).

23

24

# ROSHKA HEYMAN &

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

## В. The Proposed Rules Purport to Expand the Commission's Jurisdiction in Violation of the Arizona Constitution.

The constitutional powers, duties and limitations of the Commission are set forth in Article 15 of the Arizona Constitution (the "Constitution"). The Constitution limits the Commission's jurisdiction to public service corporations. See Ariz. Const. Art. 15, §§ 2, 3; Menderson v. City of Phoenix, 51 Ariz. 280, 282-83, 76 P.2d 321, 322 (1938). Article 15, Section 2 of the Constitution defines public service corporations as:

> All corporations other than municipal engaged in furnishing gas, oil, or electricity for light, fuel, or power; furnishing water for irrigation, fire protection, or other public purposes; or in furnishing, for profit, hot or cold air or steam for heating or cooling purposes; or engaged in collecting, transporting, treating, purifying and disposing of sewage through a system, for profit; or in transmitting messages or furnishing public telegraph or telephone service, and all corporations other than municipal, operating as common carriers . . . .

In interpreting the constitutional provisions applicable to the Commission, the Arizona Supreme Court has consistently taken a narrow view of the Commission's jurisdictional authority. For example, the Arizona Supreme Court has consistently held that the Commission has no implied powers and that such powers as the Commission may exercise do not exceed those powers to be derived from a strict construction of the Constitution and implementing statutes. Rural/Metro Corp. v. Arizona Corp. Comm'n, 129 Ariz. 116, 117, 629 P.2d 83, 84 (1981). The Arizona Supreme Court also has expressly held that while Article 15, section 6 of the Constitution allows the legislature to extend the powers and duties of the Commission only with regard to those powers already granted by the Constitution, Article 15, section 6 does not allow the legislature to give the "public"

service corporation" designation to corporations not listed in Article 15, section 2 of the Constitution. *Id.* at 118, 629 P.2d at 85.

In proposing to amend the definitions of "Pipeline system" and "Intrastate pipeline" to include all corporations, and not solely public service corporations, the Commission is in violation of the Arizona Constitutional provisions set forth above. Accordingly, the Proposed Rules should not be adopted by the Commission.

WHEREFORE, for all of the foregoing reasons, AFFC requests that the Commission correct the Proposed Rules to eliminate any attempt to expand the Commission's jurisdiction and then reissue the Proposed Rules, NOPR and accompanying documents so as to provide proper notice of the Commission's amendments to the Existing Rules.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 26th day of October, 2000.

ROSHKA HEYMAN & DeWulf, PLC

Raymond S. Heyman

Two Arizona Center

400 North 5th Street, Suite 1000

Phoenix, Arizona 85004-3906

Attorneys for Arizona Fueling Facilities Corporation

1	filed this May of October, 2000, with:	
2	Do alvet Control	
3	Docket Control Arizona Corporation Commission	
3	1200 West Washington Street	
4	Phoenix, Arizona 85007	
7	Thounx, Thizona 65007	
5	Copy of the foregoing hand-delivered	
	this $20^{4n}$ day of October, 2000 to:	
6	G41 C:111:	
7	Stephen Gibelli	
7	Hearing Division Arizona Corporation Commission	
8	1200 West Washington Street	
8	Phoenix, Arizona 85007	
9	1 Hoomx, 1 Hizona 05007	
,	Lyn Farmer	
ខ 10	Robert Metli	
₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩	Legal Division	
SS 211	Arizona Corporation Commission	
A CENTER EET - SUITE 1 ZONA 85004	1200 West Washington Street	
STREET - SUITH STREET - SUITH ARIZONA 85004	Phoenix, Arizona 85007	
[1] 무 [1]		
TWO AR ORTH STI PHOENIX	Deborah Scott, Director	
LONG	Utilities Division	
§ 14	Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street	
15	Phoenix, Arizona 85007	
13	1 Hoomx, Arizona 65007	
16	Copy of the foregoing mailed	
	this Myday of October, 2000 to:	
17		
	Connie Wightman	Larry Daniel
18	Technologies Management, Inc. 210 Park Avenue, North	Arizona Public Service Company P.O. Box 53999
	Winter Park, FL 32789	Mail Station 3015
19		Phoenix, AZ 85072-3999
•	Charles G. Taylor, Jr. Local Gateway Exchange, Inc.	Mark Battaglia
20	700 North Pearl, Suite 100	City of Benson
21	Dallas, TX 75201	P.O. Box 2223
21	G	Benson, AZ 85602
22	Steve Williams Arizona Public Service Company	Jim Wilson
44	P.O. Box 53999	Black Mountain Gas Company
23	Mail Station 4120	P.O. Box 427
23	Phoenix, AZ 85072-3999	Cave Creek, AZ 85331
24		

ROSHKA HEYMAN & DEWULF, PLC

ROSHKA HEYMAN

DEWULF, PLC

Gail Robinson

1

Dan McCarthy
Citizens Utilities Company
1300 S. Yale Street
Flagstaff, AZ 86001

Debra Jacobson
Southwest Gas Corporation
P.O. Box 98510
Las Vegas, NV 89193-8510

Frank Gonzales City of Wilcox 155 West Maley Wilcox, AZ 85643

Steve Barlett Applied LNG Technologies 8101 North 34<sup>th</sup> Street Amarillo, TX 79121

Becky Gardner City of Mesa Human Resources P.O. Box 1466 Mesa, AZ 85211-1466

Darren Gilbert
Public Utilities Commission of Nevada
1150 E. Williams
Carson City, NV 89701

Dean Peery Rolling Mill Facilitator North Star Steel 3000 Highway 66 South Kingman, AZ 86401

Jack Williams
Pimalco Aerospace Aluminum
6833 W. Willis Road
Box 5050
Chandler, AZ 85226

QWEST (US West Communications, Inc.) Regulatory Division 3033 North 3<sup>rd</sup> Street Room 1010 Phoenix, AZ 85012

Greg Merdick Cox Cable Community Relations 17602 N. Black Canyon Highway Phoenix, AZ 85053

23

P.O. Box 272

Safford, AZ 85548

ROSHKA HEYMAN

The Arizona Utility Group c/o John Clayton Southwest Gas Corporation P.O. Box 98510 Las Vegas, NV 89193-8510

John H. Shorbe, Sr.
Southern Arizona Home Builders Association
2840 N. Country Club Road
Tucson, AZ 85716

Michael Bovee Canyon Valley Airpark P.O. Box 3038 Grand Canyon, AZ 86023

Bryan Jaconi Havasu Springs Resort 2581 Highway 95 Parker, AZ 85344

Rus Brock HBACA 2111 E. Highland Suite 190 Phoenix, AZ 85016

Glen Meyers Ikard and Newsom P.O. Box 217 Flora Vista, NM 87415

Tom Yazzi Kayenta School District No. 27 P.O. Box 9000 Window Rock, AZ 86515

Ralph Friedley Red Mesa Unified School District No. 27 HCR 6100, Box 40 Teec Nos Pos, AZ 86514

Chee Benally Tuba City Unified School District No. 15 P.O. Box 67 Tuba City, AZ 86045

Dominic Antignano Zapco Energy Tactics Corporation 1420 D Church Street Bokemia, NY 11716

24